

That occasional convenient flight will equal a lot, lot more noise and tons, tons more pollution - 24/7.

It's ALL about tomorrow's airport and NOT about today's McClellan-Palomar airport (CRQ)

How to complain about aircraft noise • by phone call (760) 431-4646 • via the web, full instructions can be found by clicking on the following link:

http://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/dam/sdc/dpw/AIRPORTS/palomar/documents/Part150/FilingNoiseComplaints_10_2016.pdf

Additionally, if you submit a complaint to the airport, it also would be beneficial to take the time to call Carlsbad City Council (760) 434-2830 or email council@carlsbadca.gov and call 760-434-2821 Carlsbad City Mgr. or email manager@carlsbadca.gov

[Click for more information on Palomar Airport Advisory Committee \(PAAC\) Meeting schedules for 2019](#) — **Next meeting, please check the PAAC website.**

Full Disclosure — SaveCarlsbad.com supports "Citizens for a Friendly Airport". C4FA.com's goal is to bring transparency to the McClellan-Palomar Airport (CRQ) planning processes including respecting the rights and history of Carlsbad and the other airport surrounding communities' citizens.

Our January Newsletter stated *"Lastly, with the news of the last two weeks, it now appears the County's lack of transparency and cooperation with its citizens is pervasive. We will document this in our February 2019 newsletter."* This month's newsletter is all about documenting our claim.

~ Is San Diego County placing the health and welfare of the citizenry first and foremost? ~

Not from what we see!

In December 2018 a number of stories were published about what the County of San Diego (County) is attempting and has attempted to do that can only be construed as detrimental to the health of the citizens of San Diego County. Here is a listing of those stories along with past questionable actions. In all instances San Diego County seems to have no interest in protecting citizens' rights and health, but to have more interest in protecting business interests and in skirting AB 32.

1. August 2013 - The County "Feasibility Study for Potential Improvements to McClellan-Palomar Runway Final Report – August 1, 2013, Page 9-22 states:

CONCLUSION - "There are no known environmental sensitivities present at the airport. However, since the County of San Diego is in nonattainment - for ozone and particulate matter, additional air quality assessment of the project may be necessary. The improved efficiency or "green benefits" of the project in reducing the need for fuel stops by business jets on long haul flights would reduce fuel burn, helping offset overall fuel usage, greenhouse gases, and other air quality emissions."

This illustrates how the County is more interested in protecting other countries' residents from GHG pollution, but not the citizens of the airport's surrounding communities. Fact – it will require more power/thrust to lift the fully loaded and heavier jets off the ground; therefore, a lot more pollution will be deposited in the communities around the airport!

2. 2013 – CRQ Airport was the ONLY airport which to this day failed the EPA testing. The County decided it did not agree with the EPA testing requirements; consequently, CRQ each year is required to supply lead air quality testing requirement to the EPA.

3. County's lack of timely action may have caused 80 individuals to die. [Click here to read the news article](#)
4. 2016 - In a slick political move requiring the entire county to vote on the local Valley Center Lilac Hills Housing Project, the project was STILL voted down. But within the legal time after the defeating vote, the Board of Supervisors voted to expand the project in what seems to be an attempt to override the citizens' vote. [Click here to see the history of this project including the rejection by the voters in 2016.](#)
5. September 25, 2017 [Fox 5 News Link](#) East County residents' health and neighborhoods are in danger from the sand quarry operations to replenish San Diego beaches. Let's see, there is no longer any doubt the sea levels are rising faster than predicted five years ago. San Diego and all the other cities along the beach fronts have stated they will need to spend 100's of millions of dollars just to protect San Diego infrastructure from the destruction the rising sea levels will cause. YET, the County thinks it is a good idea to replenish the beach sand that will be continually swept away while destroying the lifestyle of the people in the rural communities around the sand quarry.
6. Sept. 28, 2017 – Cal Jet started flying from CRQ to Las Vegas, NV, but in mid April 2018 Cal Jet quit operations. In researching this airline, Cal Jet's management seems to have had a very questionable past. With that questionable past, it seems very likely the County did not do its due diligence in vetting this airline – unbelievable! [Click here to read the news article](#)
7. November 30, 2018 [Citizens for a Friendly Airport \(c4fa\) were forced to sue the County for ignoring the health of its citizens](#) by improperly preparing the CEQA paperwork, by not answering health related questions and by not answering over 1,000 other citizen questions.
8. December 6, 2018 - [Carlsbad sues the County over the approved CRQ expansion Master Plan.](#)
9. December 24, 2018 – Judge rules the County cannot buy GHS offsetting credits from foreign countries. Those offsets do nothing to protect the lives of San Diego County citizens. [Click here to read the news article](#)
10. January 9, 2019 - more of the facts - After reportedly spending more than a million dollars since 2010 to defend its climate action plan 3 times, the Board of Supervisors voted 3-2 once again to appeal the court decision. Newly elected Jim Desmond, former Mayor of San Marcos, voted to approve the appeal. Unbelievable! [Click here to read the news article](#)

It makes you wonder what is going on here; especially when in November 2015, the California Supreme Court ruled against a developer and stated in its decision – *it is no longer enough to say the project will not increase GHS emissions, you must prove it.* In the County's case, it already admits the project will create a lot more GHG emissions. But with the 3-2 vote to appeal one more time, it must be assumed the County feels it is okay to pollute the air of its own citizens as long as it is protecting the air of another country's citizens.

11. January 24, 2019 – CP Air reportedly seems to be going out of business. If so, CP Air would be one more airline to start and stop operations at CRQ within six months of startup. But it gets worse. Since 2015, this is the third airline to fail. The other two failures were the previous mentioned Cal Jet Airline and BizAir. [Click to see the news article on CP Air.](#)

Consequently, it must be asked - Is the County qualified to properly vet airlines and their financials to avoid putting and putting public safety at risk?

~ No matter what the issue is - the lack of the County's proper financial vetting is putting its citizens at risk. ~

~ Government needs to act in its citizens' best interests; NOT just the interests of its biggest business political contributors! ~

If you can support Citizens for a Friendly Airport's (c4fa) legal fight to preserve our quality of life, we ask you to please donate at <https://www.c4fa.org/donate>